

Appl. No. 10/810,151
Amdt. Dated May 4, 2006
Reply to Office Action of March 10, 2006

REMARKS

Applicants have reviewed the entire specification. Applicant has amended claim 8.

Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to because of the preamble thereof is in error. Claim 8 depends from itself. Appropriate correction is required.

In response to the objection, Applicants have amended claim 8. Amended claim 8 depends from claim 7, which is allowable. Thus, amended claim 8 should be allowable, and withdrawal of the objection is requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-7 and 9-18 are allowable.

Appl. No. 10/810,151
Amdt. Dated May 4, 2006
Reply to Office Action of March 10, 2006

Conclusion

For all the above reasons, Applicants submit that all the pending claims are now in proper form and are patentably distinguishable over the prior art. Therefore Applicants submit that this application is now in condition for allowance, and an action to this effect is earnestly requested.

Respectfully submitted,
Liang Liu et al.

By 
Jeffrey T. Knapp

Registration No.: 45,384

Foxconn International, Inc.

1650 Memorex Drive

Santa Clara, CA 95050

Tel. No.: 714/626-1229